

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/140,230 10/20/93 FENOUIL **EXAMINER** HARVEY, D 26M2/1103 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER THERESA F. CAMORIANO CAMORIANO & SMITH P.O. BOX 43610 LOUISVILLE, KY 40253-0610 2602 **DATE MAILED:** 11/03/94 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on_____ A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (honth(s), ___ days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474... Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. D Claims_ are pending in the application. Of the above, claims are withdrawn from consideration. 2. Claims 3. \(\sqrt{Claims} \) are allowed. 4. Claims _ /- Y 5. Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. i.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _ . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on ____ ___. has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed ____ _____, has been approved; disapproved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____; 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

Serial Number: 08/140,230 -2-

Art Unit: 2602

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an Abstract of the Disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains.

If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure.

If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement.

In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof.

If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

(1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;(2) if an article, its method of making;(3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;(4) if a mixture, its

ingredients; (5) if a process, the steps. Extensive mechanical

and design details of apparatus should not be given.

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format of an Abstract of the Disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said", should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in

Serial Number: 08/140,230 -3-

Art Unit: 2602

deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 4. The Abstract of the Disclosure is objected to because
- A. the abstract fails to comply with the requirements set forth in paragraphs 2 and 3 of this Office action(ie it fails to set forth that which is "new", etc...). Correction is required. See M.P.E.P. § 608.01(b).
- 5. Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- A. Claim 1 is indefinite because the preamble of the claim [lines 1 and 2] appear to be directed to an apparatus yet the body of said claim fails to set forth the means which comprise the apparatus as would be required. Alternatively, claim 1 fails to positively recite the steps which comprise a method as would be required if claim one was directed to a method. Clarification is also needed for claims 2-4.
- **B.** In claim 1, lines 8-11 are indefinite because it is not clear how many "switching points" would be "required" to interconnect the recited inputs and outputs and thus it is not clear what is meant by the statement "fewer than half".

Serial Number: 08/140,230

Art Unit: 2602

6. Barnes et al., Milone, Sorriaux, Lee et al., and Koseki have

been cited to illustrate conventional matrix swich technology.

- 7. Claim 5 is allowable over the prior art of record.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Harvey whose telephone number is (703) 305-4365.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Dull Morey
Douid Ellory
Exercise
Group 2602

-4-